DIVISIBILITY OF POLYNOMIALS AND DEGENERACY OF INTEGRAL POINTS

ERWAN ROUSSEAU, AMOS TURCHET, AND JULIE TZU-YUEH WANG

ABSTRACT. We prove several statements about arithmetic hyperbolicity of certain blow-up varieties. As a corollary we obtain multiple examples of simply connected quasi-projective varieties that are pseudo-arithmetically hyperbolic. This generalizes results of Corvaja and Zannier obtained in dimension 2 to arbitrary dimension. The key input is an application of the Ru-Vojta's strategy. We also obtain the analogue results for function fields and Nevanlinna theory with the goal to apply them in a future paper in the context of Campana's conjectures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this project is to generalize the results of our previous paper [RTW21] to higher dimensions. In [RTW21] we dealt with two competing conjectures that aim to characterize algebraic varieties defined over a number field k that have a potentially dense set of k-rational points. On one hand Campana conjectured that the class of these varieties is the class of *special varieties*, introduced in [Cam04], while the Weak Specialness Conjecture (see [HT00, Conjecture 1.2]) predicts that these should be the weakly special varieties, i.e. varieties that do not admit any étale cover that dominates a variety of general type. In [RTW21, Theorem 4.2] we constructed examples of quasi-projective threefolds that are not special but weakly-special (see also [BT04, CP07] for other constructions), and proved in [RTW21, Theorem 6.5] that such examples possess properties that contradict function field and analytic analogues of the Weak-Specialness conjecture.

In order to generalize these results in higher dimensions we need two ingredients: the first one, that is the focus of the present paper, is the construction of simply connected varieties X where we have a good control on the distribution of integral points and entire curves. The second one, which will be addressed in a forthcoming paper, is the construction of weakly-special varieties Z fibered over X and the study of the orbifold hyperbolicity of the base X.

In [RTW21] we used as "arithmetic input" a construction of Corvaja and Zannier in [CZ10] of a simply connected quasi-projective surface whose integral points are not Zariski dense. The key observation in [CZ10] was that the study of the distribution of integral points in such surfaces is connected to divisibility problems of polynomials evaluated at S-integers. In fact many classical problems in Diophantine Geometry, such as Siegel's finiteness theorem or the S-unit equation, can be rephrased via divisibility of polynomials. In this paper we use this observation to obtain several new results that extend [CZ10] to higher dimensions.

The first result is a generalization of [CZ10, Theorem 4] to an arbitrary number of variables.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let k be a number field, let S be a finite set of places including the Archimedean ones, and let $\mathcal{O}_S$ be the ring of S-integers. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_r, G \in \mathcal{O}_S[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be absolutely irreducible homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. Suppose that the hypersurfaces defined by $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ and G are in general position, i.e. any intersection of $n+1$ hypersurfaces is empty, and $\deg(F_i) \geq \deg(G)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then there exists a closed subset $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, independent of k and S, such that there are only finitely many points $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathcal{O}_S) \setminus Z$ such that one of the following holds:*

  (i) *$r \geq 2n+1$ and $F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \mid G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ in the ring $\mathcal{O}_S$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$; or*

  (ii) *$r \geq n+2$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{r} F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \mid G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ in the ring $\mathcal{O}_S$.*

In [CZ10, Theorem 4] the original Theorem was obtained in the case $n = 2$. Moreover, in Theorem 1.1, we obtain a stronger conclusion, namely the existence of an exceptional set Z independent of the field of definition. The above Theorem yields the following Corollary that generalizes the classical S-unit equation (that is the case $g = 1$).

Corollary 1.2 (Compare with [CZ10, Corollary 1]). *Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_S[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 such that $g(0, \ldots, 0) \neq 0$, $g(1, 0, \ldots, 0) \neq 0, \ldots, g(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \neq 0$. The n-tuples $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}_S^n$ such that $((1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i) \mid g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are not Zariski-dense in $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.1 (ii) to the linear forms $X_0, \ldots, X_n$, and $X_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. $\qquad\square$

As we will see, both results follow from a more general statement, Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.

We mentioned above that divisibility results as the ones of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, are related to degeneracy of integral points on varieties. The first statement in this direction is the following theorem that studies certain blow up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ along intersections of hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r \geq 2n+1$ and $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be hypersurfaces in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the union of subschemes $D_i \cap D_0$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $\widetilde{D}_i$ be the strict transform of D_i. Let $D = \widetilde{D}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{D}_r$. Then $X \setminus D$ is arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

This is the key result needed for the future applications to the study of weakly special varieties. In fact we can use Theorem 1.3 to construct simply connected varieties whose integral points are not Zariski dense, thus generalizing Corvaja and Zannier's construction in arbitrary dimension.

Proposition 1.4 (Compare with [CZ10, Theorem 3]). *In the setting of Theorem 1.3, suppose that the divisor $D_0 + D_1 + \cdots + D_r$ has simple normal crossing singularities. Then the variety $X \setminus D$ appearing in Theorem 1.3 is simply connected.*

Proof. If $n = 2$ this was done in [RTW21, Example 4.4]. If $n \geq 3$, consider a loop around $\widetilde{D}_i$. Now observe that, if E is the exceptional divisor over $D_i \cap D_0$, the generic fiber of the restriction $\pi : E \setminus D \to D_i \cap D_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Thus the loop becomes homotopically trivial in $X \setminus D$. $\quad\square$

Proposition 1.4 will be used in a subsequent paper to discuss analogues of a question of Hassett and Tschinkel in [HT01, Problem 3.7] for function fields and entire curves.

Along the same lines we generalize to arbitrary dimensions [CZ10, Corollary 2].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n}$ be $2n$ hyperplanes in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k. Choose $n+1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ such that $P_i \in H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and $P_i \notin H_j$ if $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the $n+1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and let $D \subset X$ be the strict transform of $H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n}$. Then $X \setminus D$ is arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

Finally we obtain a generalization of [CZ10, Proposition 1] and [CZ10, Theorem 7] as follows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3n$ be two integers; for every index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let H_i be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k. Suppose that the H_i's are in general position. For each index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ let P_i be the intersection point $\cap_{j=0}^{n-1} H_{i+j}$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up of the points $P_1, \ldots, P_q$, let $\widetilde{H}_i \subset X$ be the strict transform of H_i, and let $D = \widetilde{H}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{H}_q$. Then $X \setminus D$ is arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let $n \geq 2$, $q \geq 3n$ be an integer; for every index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let F_i, $1 \leq i \leq q$ be linear form in $k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ in general position. Then there exists a Zariski closed subset Z of $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that the set of points $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ satisfying, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, the equality of ideals*

$$F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{j=i-n+1}^{i} (F_j(x_0, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, F_{j+n-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_n))$$

is contained in Z.

We also mention that most of these results can be rephrased as hyperbolicity of complements of divisors in certain varieties that are higher dimensional analogues of Del Pezzo surfaces. For example Theorem 1.3 applies to open subsets of the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $r \geq 7$ lines. Interestingly enough the condition $r \geq 7$ characterizes precisely the blow-ups that are *not* weakly Fano (and hence not Mori dream spaces).

`Ideas of the proof.` The main technical tool to obtain the proof of the previous results, as in our previous paper [RTW21], is to apply (a generalization of) the main theorem of Ru-Vojta (see Theorem 3.4). In fact in [RTW21] we have already proven that the Ru-Vojta method can be used to recover the main theorem of [CZ04], that was used in [CZ10] to obtain the degeneracy results that we are generalizing in this paper. However, in this situation, the computations of the constant β, which is the crucial part of the proof, is less direct and make use of several ingredients, among them an adaptation of Autissier's ideas of [Aut09].

Moreover, by carefully tracing the exceptional set, and following a strategy already discussed by Levin in [Lev09], we can obtain a stronger result, namely pseudo-arithmetic hyperbolicity instead of degeneracy of integral points. In particular, this shows that in our statements, the closed subset outside of which the integral points are finite, does not depend on the field of definition (as expected in the stronger versions of the conjectures of Lang and Vojta); we refer to [RTW21, Section 3] for more details and discussions. In fact our results are indeed instances of the Lang-Vojta conjecture for integral points.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect some preliminary definitions and properties of local heights and we link divisibility problems with integral points. In Section 3 we state the Main Theorem of Ru-Vojta with better control of the exceptional set. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. In Section 5 we compute β in several cases and we prove

Theorem 1.5. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7. In Section 7 we collect the analogue results for holomorphic maps, while in Section 8 we present the results over function fields, together with the proof of the key Ru-Vojta statement.

`Divisibility and Integral Points`. As observed in [CZ10], there is a connection between distribution of integral points on certain rational quasi-projective varieties, and divisibility problems. In fact, Corvaja and Zannier show the following: given points $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \mathbb{P}^2$ that are the intersection of two curves $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$, let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow up along $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. Then, for a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^2$, $Q \neq P_i$, one can relate the condition that $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ is integral on X with respect to the strict transform of $\mathcal{C}_1$, with a divisibility condition for the polynomials defining C_1 and C_2 (locally).

We formalize this in Lemma 2.4, where we generalize to arbitrary dimensions [CZ10, Lemma 1].

In fact, divisibility conditions are connected to the celebrated Vojta's conjectures (as in [Voj87, Conjecture 3.4.3]) in many ways: Silverman in [Sil05] observed that GCD results for S-units in number fields, as in the seminal paper [BCZ03], are related to Vojta's conjecture on certain blow-ups. Since then, a number of articles have been devoted to exploit this connection. We cite for example [BCT21, CT19, CZ13, GSW21, GW19, Lev19, LW20, PW17, Tur17, WY21, Yas11, Yas12].

Acknowledgements

2. HEIGHTS AND INTEGRAL POINTS

We collect here standard facts and definitions on local and global Weil heights and integral points. We refer to [Lan83, Chapter 10], [HS00, B.8], [Lev19, Section 2.3] or [Sil87, Section 2] for more details about this section. We have decided to avoid the use of integral models to discuss integral points since it is more natural in the arithmetic context of the Ru-Vojta method.

Let k be a number field and M_k be the set of places, normalized so that it satisfies the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in M_k} |x|_v = 1, \qquad \text{for } x \in k^\times.$$

For a point $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$, the standard logarithmic height is defined by

$$h([x_0 : \cdots : x_n]) = \sum_{v \in M_k} \log \max\{|x_0|_v, \ldots, |x_n|_v\},$$

and it is independent of the choice of coordinates $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ by the product formula.

A M_k-*constant* is a family $\{\gamma_v\}_{v \in M_k}$ of real numbers, with all but finitely many equal to zero. Equivalently it is a real-valued function $\gamma : M_k \to \mathbb{R}$ which is zero almost everywhere. Given two families $\{\lambda_{1v}\}$ and $\{\lambda_{2v}\}$, we say $\lambda_{1v} \leq \lambda_{2v}$ holds up to an M_k-constant if there exists an

M_k-constant $\{\gamma_v\}$ such that $\lambda_{2v} - \lambda_{1v} \geq \gamma_v$ for all $v \in M_k$. We say $\lambda_{1v} = \lambda_{2v}$ up to an M_k-constant if $\lambda_{1v} \leq \lambda_{2v}$ and $\lambda_{2v} \leq \lambda_{1v}$ up to M_k-constants.

Let V be projective variety defined over a number field k. The classical theory of heights associates to every Cartier divisor D on V a *height function* $h_D : V(k) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a *local Weil function* (or *local height function*) $\lambda_{D,v} : V(k) \setminus \mathrm{Supp}(D) \to \mathbb{R}$ for each $v \in M_k$, such that

$$\sum_{v \in M_k} \lambda_{D,v}(P) = h_D(P) + O(1)$$

for all $P \in V(k) \setminus \mathrm{Supp}(D)$.

We also recall some basic properties of local Weil functions associated to closed subschemes from [Sil87, Section 2]. Given a closed subscheme Y on a projective variety V defined over k, we can associate to each place $v \in M_k$ a function

$$\lambda_{Y,v} : V \setminus \mathrm{Supp}(Y) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Intuitively, for each $P \in V$ and $v \in M_k$, we think of

$$\lambda_{Y,v}(P) = -\log(v\text{-adic distance from } P \text{ to } Y).$$

To describe $\lambda_{Y,v}$ more precisely, we use (see for example [Sil87, Lemma 2.5.2]) that for a closed subscheme Y of V, there exist effective divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ such that $Y = \cap D_i$. Then, the function $\lambda_{Y,v}$ can be described as follows:

Definition-Theorem 2.1 ([Voj87, Lemma 2.5.2], [Sil87, Theorem 2.1 (d)(h)]). *Let k be a number field, and M_k be the set of places on k. Let V be a projective variety over k and let $Y = \cap D_i \subset V$ be a closed subscheme of V. We define the (local) Weil function for Y with respect to $v \in M_k$ as*

$$(2.1) \qquad \lambda_{Y,v} = \min_i \{\lambda_{D_i,v}\},$$

This is independent of the choices of the D_i's up to an M_k-constant, and satisfies

$$\lambda_{Y_1,v}(P) \leq \lambda_{Y_2,v}(P)$$

up to an M_k-constant whenever $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$. Moreover, if $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ is the blowup of V along Y with the exceptional divisor E, $\lambda_{Y,v}(\pi(P)) = \lambda_{E,v}(P)$ up to an M_k-constant as functions on $\widetilde{V}(k) \setminus E$.

The height function for a closed subscheme Y of V is defined, for $P \in V(k) \setminus Y$, by

$$h_Y(P) := \sum_{v \in M_k} \lambda_{Y,v}(P).$$

We also define two related functions for a closed subscheme Y of V, depending on a finite set of places S of k: the *proximity function* $m_{Y,S}$ and the *counting function* $N_{Y,S}$, for $P \in V(k) \setminus Y$, as

$$m_{Y,S}(P) := \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_{Y,v}(P) \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_{Y,S}(P) := \sum_{v \in M_k \setminus S} \lambda_{Y,v}(P) = h_Y(P) - m_{Y,S}(P).$$

We can now define the notion of (D, S)-integral points following Vojta.

Definition 2.2 ([Voj11, Definition 13.1])**.** *Let k be a number field and M_k be the set of places on k. Let $S \subset M_k$ be a finite subset containing all Archimedean places. Let X be a projective variety over k, and let D be an effective divisor on X. A set $R \subseteq X(k) \setminus \mathrm{Supp}\, D$ is a (D, S)-integral set of points if there is a Weil function $\{\lambda_{D,v}\}$ for D and an M_k-constant $\{\gamma_v\}$ such that for all $v \notin S$, $\lambda_{D,v}(P) \leq \gamma_v$ for all $P \in R$.*

By the uniqueness (up to an M_k-constant) of Weil functions for a Cartier divisor D (see [Voj11, Theorem 9.8 (d)]), one can use a fixed Weil function λ_D in Definition 2.2 (after adjusting $\{\gamma_v\}$).

Finally we recall the definition of arithmetic hyperbolicity.

Definition 2.3. *Let X and D as above. We say that $X \setminus D$ is* arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic *if there exists a proper closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that for any number field $k' \supset k$, every finite set of places S of k' containing the Archimedean places, and every set R of $(k'$-rational) (D, S)-integral points on X, the set $R \setminus Z$ is finite. We say that $X \setminus D$ is* arithmetically hyperbolic *if it is pseudo-arithemtically hyperbolic with $Z = \emptyset$.*

The main tool for relating questions of divisibility between values of polynomials to integrability for points on varieties is established in the following lemma. We state it in terms of local heights since it is more convenient and it admits an explicit analogue using local equations as in [CZ10].

Lemma 2.4 (Compare to [CZ10, Lemma 1])**.** *Let X be a projective variety over a number field k, and let $S \subset M_k$ be a finite subset containing all Archimedean places. Let D be an effective Cartier divisor of X and W be a closed subscheme of X such that the codimension of $D \cap W$ is at least 2. Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the blowup along some closed subscheme of X containing $D \cap W$ such that $\pi^* D = \widetilde{D} + \pi^{-1}(D \cap W)$, where $\widetilde{D}$ is the strict transform of D. Let R be a set of points in $\widetilde{X}(k)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$\lambda_{\widetilde{D},v}(P) = 0$ up to a M_k-constant for $P \in R$ and $v \notin S$,*

(ii) *$\lambda_{D,v}(\pi(P)) \leq \lambda_{W,v}(\pi(P))$ up to a M_k-constant for $P \in R$ and $v \notin S$.*

Proof. Let $Y = D \cap W$. The functorial property of Weil functions implies that

$$(2.2) \qquad \lambda_{D,v}(\pi(P)) = \lambda_{\pi^* D,v}(P) = \lambda_{\widetilde{D},v}(P) + \lambda_{Y,v}(\pi(P))$$

up to a M_k-constant. On the other hand, it follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.3) \qquad \lambda_{Y,v}(\pi(P)) = \min\{\lambda_{D,v}(\pi(P)), \lambda_{W,v}(\pi(P))\}$$

up to a M_k-constant for any $v \in M_k$. Then the equivalence of (i) and (ii) can be easily deduced from (2.2) and the (2.3). $\qquad \square$

3. Ru–Vojta Theorem and some basic propositions

We first recall the following definitions and geometric properties from [RV20].

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a big line sheaf and let D be a nonzero effective Cartier divisor on a projective variety X. We define*

$$\beta_{\mathcal{L},D} := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h^0(V, \mathcal{L}^N(-mD))}{N \cdot h^0(V, \mathcal{L}^N)}.$$

If A is a big (Cartier) divisor we let $\beta_{A,D} := \beta_{\mathcal{O}(A),D}$.

The constant β is the crucial ingredient in Ru–Vojta's main Theorem. Before stating it we recall the following definition.

Definition 3.2. *Let $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ be effective Cartier divisors on a variety X of dimension n.*

(i) *We say that $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ are in general position if for any $I \subset \{1, \ldots, q\}$, we have*

$$\dim(\cap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Supp} D_i) \leq n - \#I \qquad \text{with } \dim \emptyset = -\infty.$$

(ii) *We say that $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ intersect properly if for any $I \subset \{1, \ldots, q\}$, $x \in \cap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Supp} D_i$, and local equations ϕ_i for D_i in x, the sequence $(\phi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a regular sequence in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.*

Remark 3.3. *If $D_1, \cdots, D_q$ intersect properly, then they are in general position. By [Mat86, Theorem 17.4], the converse holds if X is Cohen-Macaulay.*

The following is the main arithmetic Theorem of Ru and Vojta.

Theorem 3.4. [RV20, General Theorem (Arithmetic Part)] *Let k be a number field and M_k be the set of places on k. Let $S \subset M_k$ be a finite subset containing the Archimedean places. Let X be a projective variety defined over k. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ be effective Cartier divisors intersecting properly on X. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a big line sheaf on X. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z \subset X$, independent of k and S, such that*

$$(3.1) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_{\mathcal{L},D_i} m_{D_i,S}(x) \leq (1 + \epsilon) h_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$$

holds for all but finitely many x in $X(k) \setminus Z$.

We stress that the result is in fact stronger than the original statement, since the exceptional set Z does not depend on k and S. This can be obtained by carefully tracing the exceptional sets in the proof with the following version, due to Vojta in [Voj89], of Schmidt's subspace theorem, which gives a better control on the exceptional sets.

Theorem 3.5. *Let k be a number field and M_k be the set of places on k. Let $S \subset M_k$ be a finite subset containing the Archimedean places. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k with the corresponding Weil functions $\lambda_{H_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{H_q}$. Then there exist a finite union of hyperplanes Z, depending only on $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ (and not on k or S), such that for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$(3.2) \qquad \sum_{v \in S} \max_{I} \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{H_i,v}(P) \leq (n + 1 + \epsilon) h(P)$$

holds for all but finitely many points P in $\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus Z$, where the maximum is taken over subsets $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that the linear forms defining H_i for $i \in I$ are linearly independent.

We end this section with a useful lemma about local height functions.

Lemma 3.6. [WY21, Lemma 5.2] *Let $D_1, \cdots, D_q$ be effective divisors of a projective variety V of dimension n, defined over k, in general position. Then*

$$(3.3) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_{D_i,v}(P) = \max_{I} \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_{D_j,v}(P),$$

up to a M_k- constant, where $v \in M_k$, I runs over all index subsets of $\{1, \cdots, q\}$ with n elements for all $x \in V(k)$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.3

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. These will be obtained as a consequence of the following more general statement. From now on, we denote by k a number field, and by S a finite set of places of k.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a Cohen–Macaulay projective variety of dimension n defined over k. Let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$, $r \geq n + 1$, be effective Cartier divisors of V defined over k in general position. Suppose that there exist an ample Cartier divisor A on V and positive integers d_i such that $D_i \equiv d_i A$ and $d_i \geq d_0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then there exists a proper Zariski closed subset Z of V, independent of k and S, such that for any M_k constant $\{\gamma_v\}$, there are only finitely many $P \in V(k) \setminus Z$ such that the following holds.*

(i) *$r \geq 2n + 1$ and $\frac{1}{d_i}\lambda_{D_i,v}(P) \leq \frac{1}{d_0}\lambda_{D_0,v}(P) + \gamma_v$ for $v \notin S$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$; or*
(ii) *$r \geq n + 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{d_i}\lambda_{D_i,v}(P) \leq \frac{1}{d_0}\lambda_{D_0,v}(P) + \gamma_v$ for $v \notin S$.*

Here, $\equiv$ denotes numerical equivalence of divisors, and $\lambda_{D_i,v}$ is a Weil function of D_i at v.
The following theorem can be deduced from Theorem 4.1 using Lemma 2.4.

Theorem 4.2. *Let V be a Cohen–Macaulay projective variety of dimension n defined over k. Let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$, $r \geq 2n + 1$, be effective Cartier divisors of V defined over k in general position. Suppose that there exist an ample Cartier divisor A on V and positive integers d_i such that $D_i \equiv d_i A$ for all i. Let $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blowup long the union of subschemes $D_i \cap D_0$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $\widetilde{D}_i$ be the strict transform of D_i. If $D = \widetilde{D}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{D}_r$, then $\widetilde{V} \setminus D$ is arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

It is clear that Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.2. We now show that Theorem 4.1 implies Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $D_i := [F_i = 0]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $D_0 = [G = 0]$. Recall the following standard local Weil function for D_i

$$\lambda_{D_i,v}(P) := -\log \frac{|F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n)|_v}{\max\{|x_0|_v^{d_i}, \ldots, |x_n|_v^{d_i}\}},$$

where $P = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus D_i$, $F_0 = G$ and $d_i = \deg F_i$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. Since the coefficients of F_i and G are in $\mathcal{O}_S$, for integral points $P = (x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}_S^{n+1}$, the condition that $F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ divides $G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ in the ring $\mathcal{O}_S$ implies that $|G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)|_v \leq |F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n)|_v \leq 1$ for $v \notin S$. Then $|G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)^{d_i}|_v \leq |F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n)^{d_0}|_v$ for $v \notin S$ as $d_i \geq d_0$, and hence for $v \notin S$,

$$\frac{1}{d_i}\lambda_{D_i,v}(P) - \frac{1}{d_0}\lambda_{D_0,v}(P) = -\frac{1}{d_0 d_i}\log\left|\frac{F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n)^{d_0}}{G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)^{d_i}}\right|_v \leq 0$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1 (i) is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 (i). The proof for (ii) is the same. $\square$

4.1. *Basic properties and one technical lemma*

We will recall some basic results and one technical lemma from [WY21]. We start with [WY21, Proposition 2.4], which is an immediate consequence of [HS00, Theorem B.3.2.(f)].

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a projective variety defined over k, and A be an ample Cartier divisor on X defined over k. Let D be a Cartier divisor D defined over k with $D \equiv A$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant c_ϵ such that for all $P \in X(k)$*

$$(1 - \epsilon)h_A(P) - c_\epsilon \leq h_D(P) \leq (1 + \epsilon)h_A(P) + c_\epsilon.$$

The following theorem is a reformulation of [Lev14, Theorem 3.2] by applying Proposition 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension n defined over k. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ be effective Cartier divisors on X, defined over k, in general position. Suppose that there exists an ample Cartier divisor A on X and positive integer d_i such that $D_i \equiv d_i A$ for all i and all $v \in S$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z \subset X$, independent of S and k, such that for all points $P \in X(k) \setminus Z$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{d_i} m_{D_i, S}(P) > (q - n - 1 - \epsilon)h_A(P).$$

The following proposition follows from [Kov20, Proposition 5.5].

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a Cohen-Macaulay scheme over k and $Y \subset X$ be a locally complete intersection subscheme. Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \mapsto X$ be the blowup of X along Y. Then $\widetilde{X}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. Moreover, if Z is an irreducible subscheme of Y,*

$$\dim \pi^{-1}(Z) = \dim Z + \operatorname{codim} Y - 1.$$

Finally, we need the technical lemma [WY21, Lemma 4.7].

Lemma 4.6. *Let V be a projective variety of dimension n. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_{n+1}$ be effective Cartier divisors of V defined over k in general position. Suppose that there exists an ample Cartier divisor A on V such that $D_i \equiv A$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Let Y be a closed subscheme of V of codimension at least 2. Let $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blowup along Y, and E be the exceptional divisor. Let $D := D_1 + \cdots + D_{n+1}$. Then, for all sufficiently large ℓ, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\ell\pi^*D - E)$ is ample and*

$$\beta_{\mathcal{L}, \pi^*D_i}^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\ell}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\ell}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}}\right).$$

4.2. *Proof of Theorem 4.1*

We begin with the following proposition on general position for pullbacks.

Proposition 4.7. *Let V be a Cohen–Macaulay projective variety, and let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be ample effective Cartier divisors of V in general position. Let $Y_i = D_i \cap D_0$, and let $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blowup along Y, where $Y = \cup_{i=1}^{r} Y_i$. Finally let $E = E_1 + \ldots + E_r$ be the exceptional divisor of π. Then, the following holds:*

(i) *$\pi^*D_i = \widetilde{D}_i + E_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $\widetilde{D}_i$ is the strict transform of D_i.*

(ii) $\pi^*D_1, \ldots, \pi^*D_r$ *are in general position.*

Proof. Since $D_0, \ldots, D_r$ are in general position, for every $i \neq j$ the intersection $D_0 \cap D_i \cap D_j$ has codimension at least 3, which implies (i).

To show (ii), we first note that if $r \geq n$, then the intersection of any $n + 1$ of π^*D_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$, is empty since $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ are in general position. Next, let $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $\#I \leq n$. We claim that $\dim(\cap_{i \in I} \mathrm{Supp}\, \pi^*D_i) \leq n - \#I$. Let W be an irreducible component of $\cap_{i \in I} \mathrm{Supp}\, \pi^*D_i$. If $\pi(W) \subset Y$, then $\pi(W)$ is a subset of $(\cap_{i \in I} D_i) \cap D_0$ and hence $\dim \pi(W) < n - \#I$. Then $\dim W \leq n - \#I$ by Proposition 4.5. It remains to consider when $\pi(W)$ is not a subset of Y, which implies that $W \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y)$ is not empty and is contained in $\cap_{i \in I} \mathrm{Supp}\, \widetilde{D}_i \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y)$. Since $(\cap_{i \in I} \widetilde{D}_i) \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y)$ and $(\cap_{i \in I} D_i) \setminus Y$ are isomorphic, this shows that $\dim W \leq n - \#I$. $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let c be the least common multiple of $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_r$. Let $A_0 = cA$, $D_i' := \frac{c}{d_i} D_i \equiv A_0$, for $0 \leq i \leq r$. For $P \in V(k)$ satisfying (i) and $v \notin S$, we have

$$\lambda_{D_i', v}(P) = \frac{c}{d_i} \lambda_{D_i, v}(P) \leq \frac{d_0}{d_i} \lambda_{D_0', v}(P) \leq \lambda_{D_0', v}(P),$$

up to a M_k constant since $d_i \geq d_0$. Similarly, if $P \in V(k)$ satisfies (ii), then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{D_i', v}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c}{d_i} \lambda_{D_i, v}(P) \leq \frac{c}{d_0} \lambda_{D_0, v}(P) = \lambda_{D_0', v}(P)$$

up to a M_k constant. Therefore, by replacing D_i by D_i', $0 \leq i \leq r$, and A by A_0, we may assume that $D_i \equiv A$ for each $0 \leq i \leq r$ and replace (i) by

$$(4.1) \qquad \lambda_{D_i, v}(P) \leq \lambda_{D_0, v}(P) + \gamma_v, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r;$$

and, when $v \notin S$, replace (ii) by

$$(4.2) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{D_i, v}(P) \leq \lambda_{D_0, v}(P) + \gamma_v.$$

Let $Y_i = D_i \cap D_0$ and $Y = \cup_{i=1}^r Y_i$. Since D_0 is in general position with each D_i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, D_0 and each D_i intersect properly by Remark 3.3. Hence, Y is a local complete intersection. Let $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blowup along Y, and $E = E_1 + \ldots + E_r$, $E_i = \pi^{-1}(Y_i)$, be the exceptional divisors. Then by Proposition 4.7, $\pi^*D_i = \widetilde{D}_i + E_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Furthermore, since Y is a local complete intersection, by Proposition 4.5, $\widetilde{V}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and hence by Proposition 4.7, $\pi^*D_1, \ldots, \pi^*D_r$ intersect properly. Let ℓ be a fixed sufficiently large integer such that the line sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\ell(n+1)\pi^*A - E)$ is ample and Lemma 4.6 holds true, i.e.

$$(4.3) \qquad \beta_{\mathcal{L}, \pi^*D_i}^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell \sqrt{\ell}}\right).$$

Let $\epsilon' = \ell^{-5/2}$. Theorem 3.4 applied with ϵ', $\widetilde{V}$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\pi^*D_i = \widetilde{D}_i + E_i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq r$), gives a proper Zariski closed subset $\widetilde{Z} \subset \widetilde{V}$, independent of k and S such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{\pi^* D_i, S}(x) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\ell}(1 + \frac{1}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}}) + \epsilon' \right) h_{\ell(n+1)\pi^* A - E}(x)$$

$$(4.4) \qquad \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}} \right) (n+1) h_{\pi^* A}(x) - \frac{1}{\ell} h_E(x)$$

holds for all x outside the proper Zariski-closed subset $\widetilde{Z}$ of $\widetilde{V}(k)$. By the functorial properties of the local height functions, $h_D = m_{D,S} + N_{D,S}$, and $h_E = h_Y \circ \pi$, we have

$$(4.5) \qquad (r - n - 1 - \frac{2(n+1)}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}}) \cdot h_A(\pi(x)) + \frac{1}{\ell} h_Y(\pi(x)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{D_i, S}(\pi(x))$$

holds for all $\pi(x)$ outside the proper Zariski-closed subset $Z = \pi(\widetilde{Z})$ of $V(k)$.

For all $P = \pi(x) \in V(k)$ such that $r \geq 2n+1$ and (4.1) holds, i.e. $\lambda_{D_i,v}(P) \leq \lambda_{D_0,v}(P) + \gamma_v$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$h_Y(P) \geq N_{Y,S}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{v \notin S} \min\{\lambda_{D_i,v}(P), \lambda_{D_0,v}(P)\}$$

$$(4.6) \qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{D_i,S}(P) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{v \notin S} \max\{0, \gamma_v\}.$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 4.3 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\ell^2}$ that for all $P \in V(k)$,

$$(4.7) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{D_i,S}(P) \leq n N_{D_0,S}(P) + O(1) \leq (n + \frac{1}{\ell^2}) h_A(P) + O(1).$$

Apply Theorem 4.4 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\ell^2}$, then there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset Z' of $V(k)$, independent of S and k, such that, for all $P \in V(k) \setminus Z'$,

$$(4.8) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{D_i,S}(P) \geq (r - n - 1 - \frac{1}{\ell^2}) h_A(P).$$

We now use (4.7) to get an upper bound for the right hand side of (4.5) and use (4.6) and (4.8) for the left hand side. Then we have that

$$(4.9) \qquad (r - 2n - 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{2(n+1)}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}} - \frac{2}{\ell^2}) \cdot h_A(\pi(x)) \leq O(1)$$

holds for all but finitely many $P \in V(k)$ outside $Z \cup Z'$. Since A is ample, $r \geq 2n+1$, and $\frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{2(n+1)}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}} - \frac{2}{\ell^2} > 0$, there are only finitely many $P \in V(k)$ such that (4.9) holds. This shows (i).

We are left considering when $r \geq n+2$ and (4.2) holds. In this case, we have similarly to (4.7)

$$(4.10) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} N_{D_i,S}(P) \leq N_{D_0,S}(P) + O(1) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{\ell^2}) h_A(P) + O(1)$$

for all $P \in V(k)$. Together with (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8), we have that

$$(4.11) \qquad (r - n - 2 + \frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{2(n+1)}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}} - \frac{2}{\ell^2}) \cdot h_A(\pi(x)) \leq O(1)$$

holds for all but finitely many $P \in V(k)$ outside a proper Zariski-closed $Z \cup Z'$. Since A is ample, $r \geq n+2$, and $\frac{1}{\ell} - \frac{2(n+1)}{\ell\sqrt{\ell}} - \frac{2}{\ell^2} > 0$, this implies (ii).

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Since the property of arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic is independent of the multiplicity of the divisors, we may assume that there exists a positive constant such that $D_i \equiv dA$ for $0 \le i \le r$.

Let $Y_i = D_i \cap D_0$, $Y = \cup_{i=1}^r Y_i$, and $\pi : \widetilde{V} \to V$ be the blowup along Y, and $E = E_1 + \ldots + E_r$, $E_i = \pi^{-1}(Y_i)$, be the exceptional divisors. By Proposition 4.7, $\pi^* D_i = \widetilde{D}_i + E_i$. Let R be a set of (D, S)-integral points, where $D = \widetilde{D}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{D}_r$. Then there exists M_k-constant $\{\gamma_v\}$ such that for all $v \notin S$, $\lambda_{D,v}(P) \le \gamma_v$ for all $P \in R$. By Lemma 2.4, we have for each $1 \le i \le r$

$$(4.12) \qquad \lambda_{D_i,v}(\pi(P)) \le \lambda_{D_0,v}(\pi(P)) \quad \text{up to a } M_k\text{-constant for } P \in R \text{ and } v \notin S.$$

Since $r \ge 2n + 1$, Theorem 4.1 (i) implies that there exists a proper Zariski closed subset Z of V, independent of k and S, such that there are only finitely many $\pi(P) \in V(k) \setminus Z$, i.e. $P \notin \pi^{-1}(Z)$, satisfying (4.12). Since the choice of Z is independent of the M_k-constant, it implies that $\tilde{V} \setminus D$ is arithmetically pseudo-hyperbolic. $\square$

5. **PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5**

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. The main technical result is a computation of the constant β. To this end we generalize some construction of Autissier removing some hypotheses.

5.1. *Background Results and computing β*

We start by recalling some basic properties on global sections of line bundles, and refer to [Lev09, Section 7.3] for further references and proofs.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose D is a nef divisor on a nonsingular projective variety X. Let $n = \dim X$. Then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND)) = (D^n/n!)N^n + O(N^{n-1})$. In particular, $D^n > 0$ if and only if D is big.*

We will also make use of two basic exact sequences.(See [Lev09, Lemma 7.7].)

Lemma 5.2. *Let D be an effective divisor on a projective variety X with inclusion map $i : D \to X$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on X. Then we have exact sequences*

$$0 \to \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \to \mathcal{L} \to i_*(i^*\mathcal{L}) \to 0,$$
$$0 \to H^0(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \to H^0(D, i^*\mathcal{L}).$$

Lemma 5.3 ([Lev09, Lemma 7.9])**.** *Let X be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension n. Let D and E be any divisor on X, and let F be a nef divisor on X. Then we have*

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND + E - mF)) \le h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND)) + O(N^{n-1}) \quad \text{for all } m, N \ge 0,$$

where the implied constant is independent of m and N.

We will use the following lemma and its corollary, which are modification of [Aut09, Lemma 4.2] and [Aut09, Corollary 4.3] where we weaken the original hypothesis on B.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let B be a nonsingular subvariety of X of codimension 1 that is also a nef Cartier divisor. Let A be a nef Cartier divisor on X such that $A - B$ is also nef. Let $\delta > 0$ be a positive real number. Then, for any positive integers N and m with $1 \leq m \leq \delta N$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - mB)) \geq\ & \frac{A^n}{n!} N^n - \frac{A^{n-1}.B}{(n-1)!} N^{n-1} m \\
& + \frac{(n-1)A^{n-2}.B^2}{n!} N^{n-2} \min\{m^2, N^2\} - O(N^{n-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$
(5.1)

where the implicit constant depends on δ.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. We will follow the proof of [Aut09, Lemma 4.2] with necessary modification. We first note when $m \leq N$, (5.1) follows from the proof in [Aut09, Lemma 4.2], since this part of proof only need the assumption that A, B and $A - B$ are nef.

For the case that $m > N$, we let $N \leq j \leq m$. Let $i : B \to X$ be the inclusion map. From Lemma 5.2, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - (j+1)B)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - jB)) \to H^0(B, i^*\mathcal{O}(NA - jB)).
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - (j+1)B)) \geq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - jB)) - h^0(B, i^*\mathcal{O}(NA - jB)).
$$

Hence,

$$
h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - mB)) \geq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - NB)) - \sum_{j=N}^{m-1} h^0(B, i^*\mathcal{O}(NA - jB)).
$$
(5.2)

Since B is a nef divisor on X, $i^*\mathcal{O}(B)$ is nef. Applying Lemma 5.3 to B, which is a non-singular subvariety of X, and the divisors corresponding to $i^*\mathcal{O}(A)$ and $i^*\mathcal{O}(B)$, we have

$$
h^0(B, i^*\mathcal{O}(NA - jB)) \leq h^0(B, i^*\mathcal{O}(NA)) + O(N^{n-2}) = \frac{A^{n-1}B}{(n-1)!} N^{n-1} + O(N^{n-2}).
$$
(5.3)

Then, from (5.2), (5.3), Lemma 5.1, and the estimate of $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - NB))$ in the first case, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - mB)) &\geq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(NA - NB)) - (m - N)\frac{A^{n-1}B}{(n-1)!} N^{n-1} - O(N^{n-2}) \\
&\geq \frac{A^n}{n!} N^n - \frac{A^{n-1}.B}{(n-1)!} N^{n-1} m + \frac{(n-1)A^{n-2}.B^2}{n!} N^n - O(N^{n-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows (5.1) for the case that $m > N$. $\square$

We use Lemma 5.4 to obtain a lower bound on the β constant in terms of intersection numbers.

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let B be a nonsingular subvariety of X of codimension 1 that is also a nef Cartier divisor on X. Let A be a big and nef Cartier divisor on X such that $A - B$ is nef. Then*

$$
\beta_{A,B} \geq \frac{A^n}{2nA^{n-1}.B} + \frac{(n-1)A^{n-2}.B^2}{A^n} g\left(\frac{A^n}{nA^{n-1}.B}\right),
$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the function given by $g(x) = x^3/3$ if $x \leq 1$ and $g(x) = x - 2/3$ for $x \geq 1$.

Proof. Let

$$b = \frac{A^n}{nA^{n-1}.B} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a = (n-1)A^{n-2}.B^2.$$

For N sufficiently large and such that bN is an integer, Lemma 5.4 implies that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h^0(NA - mB)$$

$$\geq \sum_{m=1}^{bN} \left(\frac{A^n}{n!} N^n - \frac{A^{n-1}.B}{(n-1)!} N^{n-1} m + \frac{a}{n!} N^{n-2} \min\{m^2, N^2\} \right) + O(N^n)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{A^n}{n!} b - \frac{A^{n-1}.B}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{a}{n!} g(b) \right) N^{n+1} + O(N^n)$$

$$= \left(\frac{b}{2} + \frac{a}{A^n} g(b) \right) A^n \frac{N^{n+1}}{n!} + O(N^n).$$

$\square$

5.2. *Proof of Theorem 1.5*

We apply Corollary 5.5 to the setting of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n}$ be $2n$ hyperplanes in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and choose $n+1$ points P_i such that $P_i \in H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and $P_i \notin H_j$ if $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the $n+1$ points P_i, and let $\widetilde{H}_i$ be the strict transform of H_i. Finally, let ℓ be a sufficiently large integer and let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \ell \widetilde{H}_i + \widetilde{H}_{n+2}$. Then A is big and nef and*

$$(5.4) \qquad \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_1} = \cdots = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{n+1}} > \frac{(n+1)\ell}{2n} + o(\ell),$$

$$(5.5) \qquad \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{n+2}} = \cdots = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{2n}} > \frac{(n+1)\ell}{2n} - \frac{\ell}{2n(n+1)^{n-2}} + o(\ell).$$

Proof. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the points P_i, as in the hypotheses. Let $E_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$, be the exceptional divisors. Then

$$(5.6) \qquad \pi^* H_i = \begin{cases} \widetilde{H}_i + E_i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ \widetilde{H}_i, & \text{for } n+2 \leq i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$(5.7) \qquad A := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \ell \widetilde{H}_i + \widetilde{H}_{n+2} \sim (\ell(n+1) + 1)\pi^* H - \ell E,$$

where $E = E_1 + \cdots + E_{n+1}$ and H is a (generic) hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then

$$(5.8) \qquad A^n = ((\ell(n+1) + 1)\pi^* H - \ell E)^n = ((n+1)^n - (n+1))\ell^n + O(\ell^{n-1}).$$

We now show that $\widetilde{H}_i$ is nef for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Let C be an irreducible curve on X. Then

$$(5.9) \qquad \widetilde{H}_i.C = \begin{cases} \pi^* H.C - E_i.C, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ \pi^* H.C, & \text{for } n+2 \leq i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

If $C \subset E$, then C is contained in exactly one of the E_i. Let us assume that $C \subset E_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Then $E_j.C < 0$, $E_i.C = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\pi^*H.C = H.\pi_*C = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{H}_j.C > 0$ and $\widetilde{H}_i.C = 0$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n$. Otherwise, $\pi_*(C)$ is a curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$,

$$\widetilde{H}_i.C = \pi^*H.C - E_i.C = H.\pi_*C - \mathrm{multi}_{P_i}\pi_*(C)$$
$$= \deg \pi_*C - \mathrm{multi}_{P_i}\pi_*(C) \geq 0,$$

since $\mathrm{multi}_{P_i}\pi_*(C) \leq \deg \pi_*C$; and for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n$,

$$\widetilde{H}_i.C = \pi^*H.C = H.\pi_*C = \deg \pi_*C > 0.$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{H}_i$ is nef for each $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ and hence A is also nef. Since A is nef and $A^n > 0$ by (5.8) as $n \geq 2$, Lemma 5.1 implies that A is big.

Our assertions (5.4) and (5.5) can be easily obtained from Corollary 5.5 by noting that for $i \leq n+2$, $A - \widetilde{H}_i$, is still nef and by (5.8) and estimating the following intersection numbers.

$$A^{n-1}.\widetilde{H}_i = ((\ell(n+1)+1)\pi^*H - \ell E)^{n-1}.(\pi^*H - E_i)$$
$$= ((n+1)^{n-1}-1)\ell^{n-1} + O(\ell^{n-2}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1,$$

and

$$A^{n-1}.\widetilde{H}_i = ((\ell(n+1)+1)\pi^*H - \ell E)^{n-1}.\pi^*H$$
$$= (n+1)^{n-1}\ell^{n-1} + O(\ell^{n-2}) \quad \text{for } n+2 \leq i \leq 2n.$$

$\square$

We can now prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the $n+1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, such that $P_i \in H_i$, and $P_i \notin H_j$ if $j \neq i$. Let $E_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, be the exceptional divisors. We note that X is smooth and the strict transforms $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_{2n}$ are in general position.

Let ℓ be a sufficiently large integer to be determined later. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \ell\widetilde{H}_i + \widetilde{H}_{n+2} \sim (\ell(n+1)+1)\pi^*H - \ell E$, where $E = E_1 + \cdots + E_{n+1}$. By Lemma 5.6, A is big and nef and there exist constants β and $\widetilde{\beta}$ such that

$$\beta \cdot \ell = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_1} = \cdots = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{n+1}},$$
(5.10)
$$\widetilde{\beta} \cdot \ell = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{n+2}} = \cdots = \beta_{A,\widetilde{H}_{2n}},$$

and

(5.11) $$\delta := (n-1)\widetilde{\beta} + 2\beta - 2 > \frac{(n-1)}{2n}\left(n - 1 - \frac{1}{(n+2)^{n-2}}\right) \geq 0$$

since $n \geq 2$. Then we let

$$\epsilon := \frac{\delta}{4(n+3)} > 0.$$

Applying Theorem 3.4 to ϵ, X, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(A)$ and $\widetilde{H_i}$, $1 \le i \le 2n$, there exists a proper Zariski closed subset $Z \subset X$, independent of k and S, such that

$$(5.12) \qquad \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_{\widetilde{H}_i,S}(x) + \widetilde{\beta} \sum_{i=n+2}^{2n} m_{\widetilde{H}_i,S}(x) \le (1+\epsilon)\,(n+1+\frac{1}{\ell})h_{\pi^*H}(x) - (1+\epsilon)h_E(x)$$

holds for all x in $X(k) \setminus Z$. For the set R of (D, S)-integral points, with $D = \widetilde{H}_1 + \ldots + \widetilde{H}_{2n}$, let $c_R := \sum_{v \notin S} \max\{0, \gamma_v\}$, where $\{\gamma_v\}$ is the M_k constant from Definition 2.2. Then for $x \in R$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} N_{\pi^*H_i,S}(x) - N_{E,S}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} N_{\widetilde{H}_i,S}(x) \le c_R,$$

and hence

$$(5.13) \qquad N_{E,S}(x) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{2n} N_{H_i,S}(\pi(x)) - O(1).$$

Moreover, since $x \in R$,

$$(5.14) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_{\widetilde{H}_i,S}(x) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} h_{\widetilde{H}_i}(x) - O(1) = (n+1)h_{\pi^*H}(x) - h_E(x) - O(1),$$

and

$$(5.15) \qquad \sum_{i=n+2}^{2n} m_{\widetilde{H}_i,S}(x) \ge \sum_{i=n+2}^{2n} h_{\widetilde{H}_i}(x) - O(1) = (n-1)h_{\pi^*H}(x) - O(1).$$

Using (5.14) and (5.15), and assuming $\ell > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, we can rewrite (5.12) as

$$(5.16) \qquad (1-\beta)h_E(x) \le \big(n+1-(n+1)\beta-(n-1)\widetilde{\beta}+(n+3)\epsilon\big)h_{\pi^*H}(x) + O(1).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.6 and the fact that $m_{H_i,S}(\pi(x))+N_{H_i,S}(\pi(x)) = h(\pi(x))+O(1)$, we can derive from Theorem 3.5 that there exists a finite union of hyperplanes W, independent of k and S, such that for any $\epsilon' > 0$

$$(5.17) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} N_{H_i,S}(\pi(x)) \ge (n-1-\epsilon')h(\pi(x)) - O(1)$$

for all but finitely many $\pi(x)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus W$.

Since $h_E(x) \ge N_E(x)$, we can deduce from (5.13) and (5.17) that for all but finitely many $\pi(x)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus W$

$$(5.18) \qquad h_E(x) \ge (n-1-\epsilon')h(\pi(x)) - O(1).$$

Then we derive from (5.11), (5.16), and (5.18) that

$$(\delta - (1-\beta)\epsilon' - (n+3)\epsilon)h(\pi(x)) \le O(1)$$

for all but finitely $x \in R$ outside $Z \cup \pi^*(W) \cup E$. Let $\epsilon' \le \delta/4(1-\beta)$. Then, by definition of ϵ,

$$\frac{\delta}{2}h(\pi(x)) \le O(1),$$

which can only be satisfied for finitely many $\pi(x) \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$. Therefore, there are only finitely many $x \in R$ outside $Z \cup \operatorname{Supp}\pi^*(W) \cup \operatorname{Supp}E$. $\qquad\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6 AND THEOREM 1.7

We fix the notation we will use throughout this section. Let $n \geq 2$, $q \geq 3n$ be integers. For every index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let H_i be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k. Suppose that $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ are in general position. For each index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let P_i be the intersection point $\cap_{j=0}^{n-1} H_{i+j}$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up over the points $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ and $E_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq q$, be the exceptional divisors. Let $\widetilde{H}_i \subset X$ be the corresponding strict transform of H_i and let $D = \widetilde{H}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{H}_q$. Since P_i is the intersection point $\cap_{j=0}^{n-1} H_{i+j}$, we have

$$(6.1) \qquad \pi^* H_i = \widetilde{H}_i + \sum_{j=i-n+1}^{i} E_j,$$

and

$$(6.2) \qquad D = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \widetilde{H}_i \sim q\pi^* H - n \sum_{i=1}^{q} E_i.$$

6.1. *Key Lemmas*

We collect here the key lemmas for computing the constant β.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $q \geq 3n$ and let D and $\widetilde{H}_i$ be as defined above. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, the divisor $D - m\widetilde{H}_i$ is nef.*

Proof. Recall that $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is the blowup of the points P_i, as described above.

It is clear that it suffices to show $D - m\widetilde{H}_q$ is nef if $q \geq 3n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$ by rearranging the index. By (6.1) and (6.2), we have

$$(6.3) \qquad D - m\widetilde{H}_q \sim (q - m)\pi^* H - n \sum_{i=1}^{q-n} E_i - (n - m) \sum_{i=q-n+1}^{q} E_i.$$

Let C be an irreducible curve on X. If $\pi_*(C)$ is not a curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$, then $\pi_*(C) = P_i$ for some i. Hence, $\pi^* H.C = H.\pi_* C = 0$, $E_j.C = 0$ for $1 \leq j \neq i \leq q$ and $E_i.C > 0$. Therefore, (6.3) gives $(D - m\widetilde{H}_q).C \geq 0$ if $0 \leq m \leq n$.

If $\pi_*(C)$ is a curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\pi_*(C)$ is not in any of the H_i, from (6.3) we have

$$(D - m\widetilde{H}_q).C$$

$$(6.4) \qquad = (q - m)H.\pi_*(C) - n \sum_{i=1}^{q-n} \mathrm{multi}_{P_i} \pi_*(C) - (n - m) \sum_{i=q-n+1}^{q} \mathrm{multi}_{P_i} \pi_*(C).$$

It suffices to find $q - m$ hyperplanes passing through $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ with described multiplicity as the equation above. We note that each H_i contains exactly n points, $P_{i-n+1}, \ldots, P_i$, among the P_j's; and each point P_i is contained in exactly n hyperplanes, $H_i, \ldots, H_{n+i-1}$, among the H_j's. We first consider the points P_i's contained in $H_n, \ldots, H_{q-n}$ and denote these points with multiplicities as a formal sum below.

$$(6.5) \qquad n \sum_{i=n}^{q-2n+1} P_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(P_i + P_{q-n+1-i}) = n \sum_{i=1}^{q-n} P_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n - i)(P_i + P_{q-n+1-i})$$

Recall that $q \geq 3n$. The last sum in the right hand side of (6.5) contains $n(n-1)$ points counting multiplicity and the multiplicities of these points range from one to $n-1$. Therefore, we may choose $n-1$ hyperplanes $L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ containing these $n(n-1)$ points (counting multiplicity). Then together with (6.5), we have

$$(6.6) \qquad (q-n)H.\pi_*(C) = \sum_{i=n}^{q-n} H_i.\pi_*(C) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i.\pi_*(C) \geq n \sum_{i=1}^{q-n} \text{multi}_{P_i} \pi_*(C).$$

Finally, since $P_{q-n+1}, \ldots, P_q \in H_q$, we have

$$(6.7) \qquad (n-m)H.\pi_*(C) = (n-m)H_q.\pi_*(C) \geq (n-m) \sum_{i=q-n+1}^{q} \text{multi}_{P_i} \pi_*(C).$$

Then $(D - m\widetilde{H}_q).C \geq 0$ if $q \geq 3n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$ by (6.4), (6.6) and (6.7).

Finally, we consider the case where $\pi_*(C)$ is contained in some H_b, where $1 \leq b \leq q$.

Suppose that $\pi_*(C) \subset \cap_{t=0}^{a} H_{b-t}$ and $\pi_*(C) \not\subset H_{b-a-1}$. Clearly, $0 \leq a \leq n-2$ since the H_i are in general position and $\pi_*(C)$ is a curve. Then $\pi_*(C) \cap \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\} \subseteq \{P_{b-n+1}, \ldots, P_{b-a}\}$, which is contained in $H_{b-a-1} \cup H_{b-a+j}$, for $a+1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since $\pi_*(C) \subset \cap_{t=0}^{a} H_{b-t}$, it cannot be contained in every H_{b-a+j}, $a+1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Suppose that $\pi_*(C)$ is not contained in H_{j_0}, for some $b-a+1 \leq j_0 \leq b-a+n-1$. Then we have

$$(6.8) \qquad 2H.\pi_*(C) = (H_{b-a-1} + H_{j_0}).\pi_*(C) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \text{multi}_{P_i} \pi_*(C).$$

Then, by (6.4) since $m \leq n$ and $q \geq 3n$, we have $(D - m\widetilde{H}_q).C \geq (q - 2n - m)H.\pi_*(C) \geq 0$. $\qquad \square$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3n$. Let D and $\widetilde{H}_i$, be as above. Then D is big and*

$$\beta_{D,\widetilde{H}_1} = \cdots = \beta_{D,\widetilde{H}_q} > 1.$$

Proof. Since D is nef by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND)) = \frac{D^n}{n!} \cdot N^n + O(N^{n-1})$$

by Lemma 5.1. It follows from (6.2) that

$$(6.9) \qquad D^n = (q\pi^*H - n\sum_{i=1}^{q} E_i)^n = q^n - n^n q.$$

Therefore, D is big if $q^{n-1} > n^n$, which is satisfied when $n \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3n$.

By the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, adapting the arguments in [Aut09, Lemma 4.2], we obtain

$$\chi(X; ND - m\widetilde{H}_i) = \frac{1}{n!}(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i)^n + O(N^{n-1}),$$

where $\chi(X; \cdot)$ is the Euler characteristic.

Since D and $D - b\widetilde{H}_i$ are nef for $0 \leq b \leq n$, $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i) = O(N^{n-i})$ if $m \leq nN$ (see e.g. [Laz04, Theorem 1.4.40]). Therefore,

$$(6.10) \qquad h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i)) = \frac{(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i)^n}{n!} \cdot N^n + O(N^{n-1}), \quad \text{for } m \leq nN.$$

By (6.1) and (6.2), we can compute

$$(6.11) \qquad D^k.\widetilde{H}_i^{n-k} = q^k - n^{k+1}, \quad \text{for } k \leq n-1.$$

Then

$$(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i)^n = (q^n - n^n q)N^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k}(q^k - n^{k+1})(-1)^{n-k}N^k m^{n-k}$$

$$(6.12) \qquad = (qN - m)^n - n(nN - m)^n - n^n(q-n)N^n.$$

In particular, for $m = nN$

$$(6.13) \qquad (ND - n\widetilde{H}_i)^n = (q-n)\big((q-n)^{n-1} - n^n\big)N^n \geq 0$$

since $q \geq 3n$ and $n \geq 2$. Since (6.12) is a decreasing function in m, the right hand side of (6.12) is nonnegative for $m \leq nN$. By (6.10) and (6.12), we have

$$n! \sum_{m=0}^{nN} h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(ND - m\widetilde{H}_i))$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{nN} (qN - m)^n - n(nN - m)^n - n^n(q-n)N^n + O(N^n)$$

$$= \big(q^{n+1} - (q-n)^{n+1} - n^{n+2} - n^{n+1}(n+1)(q-n)\big)\frac{N^{n+1}}{n+1} + O(N^n).$$

Together with (6.9), it yields

$$\beta_{D,\widetilde{H}_i} \geq \beta := \frac{q^{n+1} - (q-n)^{n+1} - n^{n+2} - n^{n+1}(n+1)(q-n)}{(n+1)(q^n - n^n q)}.$$

We now show that $\beta > 1$. Let

$$f(q) := (\beta - 1)(n+1)(q^n - n^n q)$$

$$= q^{n+1} - (q-n)^{n+1} - n^{n+2} - n^{n+1}(n+1)(q-n) - (n+1)(q^n - n^n q)$$

$$(6.14) \qquad = q^{n+1} - (q-n)^{n+1} - (n+1)q^n - (n^2 - 1)n^n(q-n) + n^{n+1}.$$

We will need to show that $f(q) > 0$ if $q \geq 3n$.

$$f'(q) = (n+1)(q^n - (q-n)^n) - (n+1)nq^{n-1} - n^{n+2} + n^n$$

$$= n(n+1)(q^{n-1} + q^{n-2}(q-n) + \ldots + (q-n)^{n-1}) - (n+1)nq^{n-1} - n^{n+2} + n^n$$

$$= n(n+1)(q^{n-2}(q-n) + \ldots + (q-n)^{n-1}) - n^{n+2} + n^n$$

$$> (n^3 - n)(q-n)^{n-1} - n^{n+2} \geq 0 \qquad \text{if } q \geq 3n \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $f(3n) > 0$. By (6.14),

$$f(3n) = n^n\big((2n-1)\cdot 3^n - n\cdot 2^{n+1} - (2n^2 - 3)n\big).$$

It is easy to check that $f(3n) > 0$ for $n = 2$. We now assume that $n \geq 3$. Then

$$(2n - 1) \cdot 3^n - n \cdot 2^{n+1} - (2n^2 - 3)n$$
$$\geq n \cdot 3^n - n \cdot 2^{n+1} + (n - 1)3^n - (3n^2 - 3)n$$
$$\geq 9n \cdot 3^{n-2} - 8n \cdot 2^{n-2} + (n - 1)(3^n - 3n(n + 1)) > 0.$$

This show that $f(3n) > 0$ for $n \geq 3$ as well.   □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7**

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We note that since X is smooth we need to verify that $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_q$ are in general position in order to apply Theorem 3.4. Let $W = \widetilde{H}_1 \cap \widetilde{H}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \widetilde{H}_i$ (after reindexing) $1 \leq i \leq n$. Following the proof of Proposition 4.7, it suffices to consider when $\pi(W) \subset \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$. Since W is irreducible, it implies that $\pi(W)$ is some $P_j \in H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$. Since $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ are hyperplanes in general position, they intersect transversally. Thus, the codimension of W is i.

Since $n \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3n$, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that D is big and $\beta := \beta_{D,\widetilde{H}_1} = \cdots = \beta_{D,\widetilde{H}_q} > 1$. Theorem 3.4 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)$ implies that there exists a proper Zariski closed set $Z \subset X$ independent of k and S such that

$$(6.15) \qquad \beta \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{q} m_{\widetilde{H}_i, S}(x) \leq (1 + \epsilon) h_D(x)$$

for all but finitely many $x \in X(k) \setminus Z$. Let R be a set of (D, S)-integral points. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} m_{\widetilde{H}_i, S}(x) = h_D(x) + O(1),$$

where the constant depends only on R. Hence,

$$(6.16) \qquad \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)h_D(x) = (\beta - 1 - \epsilon)h_D(x) \leq O(1)$$

for all but finitely many $x \in R$ outside Z. Since D is big, for a given ample divisor A, there exists a proper Zariski closed set Z' of X, depending only on A and D such that $h_A(x) \leq h_D(x) + O(1)$ for all $x \in X(\bar{k})$ outside of Z' (see [Voj11, Proposition 10.11]). Therefore, (6.16) implies that there are only finitely many $x \in R$ outside $Z \cup Z'$.   □

Proof of Theorem 1.7 . Denote by $\mathbf{x} := [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$. Up to enlarging the set S, we can suppose that $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ are S-integers and that the ring $\mathcal{O}_S$ is a unique factorization domain. Let $H_i = [F_i = 0]$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. For each index $j \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let P_j be the intersection point $\cap_{\ell=0}^{n-1} H_{j+\ell}$. By Definition 2.1, the identity of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_S$

$$(6.17) \qquad F_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{j=i-n+1}^{i} (F_j(x_0, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, F_{j+n-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_n))$$

implies that for $v \notin S$

$$(6.18) \qquad \lambda_{H_i, v}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=i-n+1}^{i} \lambda_{P_j, v}(\mathbf{x}),$$

up to a M_k constant. On the other hand, let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up over the points $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ and let $\widetilde{H}_i \subset X$ be the corresponding strict transform of H_i. It follows from (6.1) that

$$(6.19) \qquad \lambda_{H_i,v}(\pi(Q)) = \lambda_{\widetilde{H}_i,v}(Q) + \sum_{j=i-n+1}^{i} \lambda_{P_i,v}(\pi(Q))$$

up to a M_k constant for $Q \in X$, $v \notin S$. If $Q \notin \cup_{i=1}^q \mathrm{Supp}(E_i)$, then $Q = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ for some $\mathbf{x} \neq P_i$, $1 \leq i \leq q$. Therefore, for $\mathbf{x} := [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ satisfying (6.17) we have

$$(6.20) \qquad \lambda_{\widetilde{H}_i,v}(\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \quad \text{up to a } M_k \text{ constant for } v \notin S.$$

By Theorem 1.6, there exists a Zariski closed subset W of X such that the set of points $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying (6.20) are contained in W. Therefore, the points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ satisfying the identity (6.17) are contained in the Zariski closure of $\pi(W)$. $\qquad \square$

7. DEGENERACY OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPS

In this section, we give the analytic versions of the arithmetic statements obtained in the previous sections. This imply several results on Brody hyperbolicity.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $F_1, \ldots, F_r, G \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be polynomials in general position (i.e. the associated hypersurfaces are in general position) with $\deg(F_i) \geq \deg(G)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Let $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ be holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ such that one of the following holds*
  (i) *$r \geq 2n$ and $\frac{G(h_1,\ldots,h_n)}{F(h_1,\ldots,h_n)}$ is holomorphic, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$; or*
  (ii) *$r \geq n+1$ and $\frac{G(h_1,\ldots,h_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^r F_i(h_1,\ldots,h_n)}$ is holomorphic.*
Then $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are algebraically dependent.

This can be seen as a generalization of Borel's Theorem [Bor97] stating that nowhere vanishing entire functions $h_1, \ldots, h_{n+1}$ satisfying the identity $h_1 + \cdots + h_{n+1} = 1$ are dependent. Indeed, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ be holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\frac{1}{(h_1 \ldots h_n).(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n h_i)}$ is holomorphic. Then $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are linearly dependent.*

We recall the following definition.

Definition 7.3. *We say that a complex variety X is Brody pseudo-hyperbolic if there exists a proper closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that any (non-constant) entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ is contained in Z i.e. $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$.*

Then we can rephrase in the analytic setting the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r \geq 2n+1$ and $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be hypersurfaces in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup long the union of subschemes $D_i \cap D_0$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $\widetilde{D}_i$ be the strict transform of D_i. Let $D = \widetilde{D}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{D}_r$. Then $X \setminus D$ is Brody pseudo-hyperbolic.*

Theorem 7.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n}$ be $2n$ hyperplanes in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Choose $n+1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ such that $P_i \in H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and $P_i \notin H_j$ if $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$.*

Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the $n + 1$ points P_i, $1 \le i \le n + 1$, and let $D \subset X$ be the strict transform of $H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n}$. Then $X \setminus D$ is Brody pseudo-hyperbolic.

Theorem 7.6. Let $n \ge 2$, $q \ge 3n$ be an integer; for every index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let H_i be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that H_i's are in general position. Let for each index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, P_i be the intersection point $\cap_{j=0}^{n-1} H_{i+j}$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up over the points $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ and let $\widetilde{H}_i \subset X$ be the corresponding strict transform of H_i and let $D = \widetilde{H}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{H}_q$. Then $X \setminus D$ is Brody pseudo-hyperbolic.

The proofs of the above statements are the same as the arithmetic ones replacing Theorem 3.4 by its analytic analogue. Its generalization is obtained using Vojta's version of Schmidt's subspace theorem [Voj89], which gives a better control on the exceptional sets.

Theorem 7.7. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with the corresponding Weil functions $\lambda_{H_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{H_q}$. Then there exists a finite union of hyperplanes Z, depending only on $H_1, \ldots, H_q$, such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and any (non-constant) entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ with $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset Z$

$$(7.1) \qquad \int_0^{2\pi} \max_I \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{H_i}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \le_{\mathrm{exc}} (n + 1 + \epsilon) T_f(r)$$

holds, where $\le_{\mathrm{exc}}$ means that the inequality holds for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ except a set of finite Lebesgue measure., where the maximum is taken over subsets $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that the linear forms defining H_i for $i \in I$ are linearly independent.

By carefully tracing the exceptional sets with Theorem 7.7, the general analytic Theorem of Ru and Vojta can be stated as follows.

Theorem 7.8. [RV20, General Theorem (Analytic Part)] Let X be a complex projective variety of dimension n and let $D_1, \cdots, D_q$ be effective Cartier divisors intersecting properly on X. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a big line bundle. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ be a Zariski dense entire curve. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset $Z \subset X$, such that for any (non-constant) entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ with $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset Z$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_{\mathcal{L}, D_j} m_f(r, D_j) \le_{\mathrm{exc}} (1 + \varepsilon) T_{\mathcal{L}, f}(r)$$

holds, where $\le_{\mathrm{exc}}$ means that the inequality holds for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ except a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

8. FUNCTION FIELDS

In this section we give the analogue statements over function fields of the theorems obtained in the previous sections. For this section we let κ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-singular projective curve defined over κ and let $K = \kappa(\mathcal{C})$ denote its function field. We refer to [RTW21, Section 7.2] for the basic definitions of heights and proximity functions in the function field setting. We recall the definition of algebraic hyperbolicity.

Definition 8.1. *Let (X, D) be a pair of a non-singular projective variety X defined over κ and a normal crossing divisor D on X. We say that (X, D) is* algebraically hyperbolic *if there exists an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X and a positive constant α such that, for every non-singular projective curve $\mathcal{C}$ and every morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to X$ the following holds:*

$$(8.1) \qquad \deg \varphi^* \mathcal{L} \leq \alpha \cdot \left(2g(\mathcal{C}) - 2 + N_\varphi^{[1]}(D) \right),$$

where $N_\varphi^{[1]}(D)$ is the cardinality of the support of $\varphi^*(D)$.

We say that (X, D) is pseudo algebraically hyperbolic *if there exists a proper closed subvariety Z of X such that (8.1) holds for every morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to X$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is not contained in Z.*

We can now rephrase Theorems 1.3, 1.5 and 1.7.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $r \geq 2n + 1$ and $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be hypersurfaces in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over κ. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup long the union of subschemes $D_i \cap D_0$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and let $\widetilde{D}_i$ be the strict transform of D_i. Let $D = \widetilde{D}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{D}_r$. Then $X \setminus D$ is algebraically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

Theorem 8.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n}$ be $2n$ hyperplanes in general position on $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over κ. Choose $n + 1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ such that $P_i \in H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, and $P_i \notin H_j$ if $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of the $n + 1$ points P_i, $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, and let $D \subset X$ be the strict transform of $H_1 + \cdots + H_{2n}$. Then $X \setminus D$ is algebraically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

Theorem 8.4. *Let $n \geq 2$, $q \geq 3n$ be an integer; for every index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, let H_i be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over k. Suppose that H_i's are in general position. Let for each index $i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, P_i be the intersection point $\cap_{j=0}^{n-1} H_{i+j}$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blow-up over the points $P_1, \ldots, P_q$ and let $\widetilde{H}_i \subset X$ be the corresponding strict transform of H_i and let $D = \widetilde{H}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{H}_q$. Then $X \setminus D$ is algebraically pseudo-hyperbolic.*

We remark that, even if we stated the results in the so-called *split case*, our proofs carry over almost verbatim to the non-split case as well.

As in the analytic setting, the proofs of the above statements follow the same lines of the proof of our arithmetic results and the same strategy as in our previous paper [RTW21] with two modifications. On one hand we can use the results in Section 7 instead of [Lev09, Theorem 8.3 B] for the case in which $2g(\mathcal{C}) - 2 + N_\varphi^{[1]}(D) \leq 0$. On the other hand we replace the use of Theorem 3.4 with the following analogue that uses a version of the Schmidt subspace theorem over function fields recently obtained in [GSW21, Theorem 15]. In particular this gives a better control on the exceptional set.

Theorem 8.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be a projective variety over κ of dimension n, let $D_1, \cdots, D_q$ be effective Cartier divisors intersecting properly on X, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a big line sheaf. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants c_1 and c_2, independent of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ and the set S, and a finite collection of hypersurfaces $\mathcal{Z}$ (over κ) in $\mathbb{P}^m$ of degree at most c_2 such that for any map $x = [x_0 : \cdots : x_m] : \mathcal{C} \to X$, where $x_i \in K$, outside the augmented base locus of $\mathcal{L}$ we have either*

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{\mathcal{L}, D_i} m_{D_i, S}(x) \leq (1 + \epsilon) h_{\mathcal{L}}(x) + c_1 \max \{1, 2g(\mathcal{C}) - 2 + |S|\},$$

or the image of x is contained in $\mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the first part of the proof of [RTW21, Theorem 7.6]. We will follow its argument and notation and only indicate the modification. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a big line sheaf, there is a constant c such that $\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_{D_i}(x) \leq c h_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ for all $x \in X(K)$ outside the augmented base locus B of $\mathcal{L}$. By the properties of the local heights, together with the fact that $m_{D_i,S} \leq h_{D_i} + O(1)$, we can choose $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all i such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} (\beta_{\mathcal{L},D_i} - \beta_i) m_{D_i,S}(x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} h_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus B(K)$. Therefore, we can assume that $\beta_{\mathcal{L},D_i} = \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all i and also that $\beta_i \neq 0$ for each i. From now on we will assume that the point $x \in X(K)$ does *not* lie on B.

Choose positive integers N and b such that

(8.2) $$\left(1 + \frac{n}{b}\right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \frac{\beta_i N h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)}{\sum_{m \geq 1} h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N(-mD_i))} < 1 + \epsilon .$$

Then, using [RTW21, Theorem 7.5] with the same notation, we obtain

(8.3)
$$\frac{b}{b+n} \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq q} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{h^0(\mathcal{L}^N(-mD_i))}{\beta_i} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i \lambda_{D_i,\mathfrak{p}}(x)$$
$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq T_1} \lambda_{\mathcal{B}_i,\mathfrak{p}}(x) + O(1) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq T_1} \sum_{j \in J_i} \lambda_{s_j,\mathfrak{p}}(x) + O(1).$$

Let $M = h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)$, let the set $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M\}$ be a basis of the vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)$, and let

(8.4) $$\Phi = [\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M] : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{M-1}(\kappa)$$

be the corresponding rational map. By [GSW21, Theorem 15], there exists a finite collection of linear subspaces $\mathcal{R}$ over κ such that, whenever $\Phi \circ x$ is not in $\mathcal{R}$, we have the following

(8.5) $$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \max_{J} \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_{s_j,\mathfrak{p}}(x) \leq M h_{\mathcal{L}^N}(x) + \frac{M(M-1)}{2}(2g - 2 + |S|),$$

here the maximum is taken over all subsets J of $\{1, \ldots, T_2\}$ for which the sections s_j, $j \in J$, are linearly independent (with the same notation as in the proof of [RTW21, Theorem 7.1]). We first consider when $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M$ are linearly independent over κ. Combining (8.3) and (8.5) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i m_{D_i,S}(x) \leq \left(1 + \frac{n}{b}\right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \frac{\beta_i}{\sum_{m \geq 1} h^0(\mathcal{L}^N(-mD_i))} M h_{\mathcal{L}^N}(x) + c_1'(2g - 2 + |S|) + O(1),$$

where $c_1' = \frac{M(M-1)}{2}$. Using (8.2) and the fact that $h_{\mathcal{L}^N}(x) = N h_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i m_{D_i,S}(x) \leq (1 + \epsilon) h_{\mathcal{L}}(x) + c_1'(2g - 2 + |S|) + O(1),$$

which implies the first case of the Theorem.

To conclude we note that, if $\Phi \circ x$ is in one of the linear subspace of $\mathcal{R}$ over κ in $\mathbb{P}^{M-1}$, then $a_1\phi_1(x) + \cdots + a_M\phi_M(x) = 0$, where $H = \{a_1 z_1 + \cdots + a_M z_M = 0\}$ is one of the hyperplanes (over κ) in $\mathbb{P}^{M-1}$ coming from $\mathcal{R}$.

On the other hand, since $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M$ is a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)$, it follows that $\Phi(X)$ is not contained in H, hence $x(\mathcal{C})$ is contained in is the hypersurface coming from $a_1\phi_1 + \cdots + a_M\phi_M = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^m$ (as $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$) whose degree is bounded independently of $\mathcal{C}$ and x as wanted. Moreover, since $\mathcal{R}$ is a finite collection of linear subspaces over κ in $\mathbb{P}^{M-1}$, the number of H is finite and hence the number of hypersurfaces obtained above is also finite.

$\square$

REFERENCES

[Aut09] Pascal Autissier. Géométries, points entiers et courbes entières. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(2):221–239, 2009.

[BCT21] Fabrizio Barroero, Laura Capuano, and Amos Turchet. Geometric divisibility sequences on abelian and semiabelian varieties. *to appear*, 2021.

[BCZ03] Yann Bugeaud, Pietro Corvaja, and Umberto Zannier. An upper bound for the G.C.D. of $a^n - 1$ and $b^n - 1$. *Math. Z.*, 243(1):79–84, 2003.

[Bor97] Emile Borel. Sur les zéros des fonctions entières. *Acta Math.*, 20(1):357–396, 1897.

[BT04] Fedor Bogomolov and Yuri Tschinkel. Special elliptic fibrations. In *The Fano Conference*, pages 223–234. Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.

[Cam04] Frédéric Campana. Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54(3):499–630, 2004.

[CP07] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun. Variétés faiblement spéciales à courbes entières dégénérées. *Compos. Math.*, 143(1):95–111, 2007.

[CT19] Laura Capuano and Amos Turchet. Lang–Vojta conjecture over function fields for surfaces dominating $\mathbb{G}_m^2$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.07562*, 2019.

[CZ04] Pietro Corvaja and Umberto Zannier. On integral points on surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(2):705–726, 2004.

[CZ10] Pietro Corvaja and Umberto Zannier. Integral points, divisibility between values of polynomials and entire curves on surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):1095–1118, 2010.

[CZ13] Pietro Corvaja and Umberto Zannier. Algebraic hyperbolicity of ramified covers of $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ (and integral points on affine subsets of $\mathbb{P}_2$). *J. Differential Geom.*, 93(3):355–377, 2013.

[GSW21] Ji Guo, Chia-Lian Sun, and Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang. Vojta's conjectures for algebraic tori over function fields. *to appear*, 2021.

[GW19] Ji Guo and Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang. Asymptotic gcd and divisible sequences for entire functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(9):6241–6256, 2019.

[HS00] Marc Hindry and Joseph H. Silverman. *Diophantine geometry*, volume 201 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[HT00] Joe Harris and Yuri Tschinkel. Rational points on quartics. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(3):477–500, 2000.

[HT01] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Density of integral points on algebraic varieties. In *Rational points on algebraic varieties*, volume 199 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 169–197. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[Kov20] Sándor J Kovács. Rational singularities, 2020.

[Lan83] Serge Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[Lev09] Aaron Levin. Generalizations of Siegel's and Picard's theorems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(2):609–655, 2009.

[Lev14] Aaron Levin. On the Schmidt subspace theorem for algebraic points. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(15):2841–2885, 2014.

[Lev19] Aaron Levin. Greatest common divisors and Vojta's conjecture for blowups of algebraic tori. *Invent. Math.*, 215(2):493–533, 2019.

[LW20]   Aaron Levin and Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang. Greatest common divisors of analytic functions and Nevanlinna theory on algebraic tori. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 767:77–107, 2020.

[Mat86] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.

[PW17]   Hector Pasten and Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang. GCD bounds for analytic functions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (1):47–95, 2017.

[RTW21] Erwan Rousseau, Amos Turchet, and Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang. Nonspecial varieties and generalised Lang–Vojta conjectures. *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, 9:1–29, 2021.

[RV20]   Min Ru and Paul Vojta. A birational nevanlinna constant and its consequences. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 142(3):957–991, 2020.

[Sil87] Joseph H. Silverman. Arithmetic distance functions and height functions in Diophantine geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 279(2):193–216, 1987.

[Sil05] Joseph H. Silverman. Generalized greatest common divisors, divisibility sequences, and Vojta's conjecture for blowups. *Monatsh. Math.*, 145(4):333–350, 2005.

[Tur17] Amos Turchet. Fibered threefolds and Lang-Vojta's conjecture over function fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(12):8537–8558, 2017.

[Voj87] Paul Vojta. *Diophantine Approximations and Value Distribution Theory*, volume 1239 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1987.

[Voj89] Paul Vojta. A refinement of Schmidt's subspace theorem. *Amer. J. Math.*, 111(3):489–518, 1989.

[Voj11] Paul Vojta. Diophantine approximation and Nevanlinna theory. In *Arithmetic geometry*, volume 2009 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 111–224. Springer, Berlin, 2011.

[WY21]   Julie Tzu-Yueh Wang and Yu Yasufuku. Greatest common divisors of integral points of numerically equivalent divisors. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(1):287–305, 2021.

[Yas11] Yu Yasufuku. Vojta's conjecture on blowups of $\mathbb{P}^n$, greatest common divisors, and the *abc* conjecture. *Monatsh. Math.*, 163(2):237–247, 2011.

[Yas12] Yu Yasufuku. Integral points and Vojta's conjecture on rational surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(2):767–784, 2012.

INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE & AIX MARSEILLE UNIV
CNRS, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, I2M, MARSEILLE, FRANCE
Email address: erwan.rousseau@univ-amu.fr

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA 3,
L.GO S. L. MURIALDO 1, 00146 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: amos.turchet@uniroma3.it

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA
NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD
TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
Email address: jwang@math.sinica.edu.tw